

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/851,387 05/09/2001 Mitsuhiro Nada 205002US2 3902 09/30/2002 22850 7590 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC **EXAMINER** FOURTH FLOOR VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2859

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/851,387

Applicant(s)

Nada

Examiner

Gail Verbitsky

Art Unit 2859



The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (mailing date of this communication. 	a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, contractions are considered by the Office later than three months after the mailing determed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp.	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examine	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second control of the priority application.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
a) The translation of the foreign language provision	
15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(\$5 13pgs	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/851,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction/ Election

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A) the species formed by a second object being a power semiconductor and a first object being a coolant for the power semiconductor (claims 3, 7, 10, 14),
- B) the species formed by a first object being a stator iron of an electric motor and a second object being a stator coil (claims 4-5, 11-12),
- C) the species formed by a second object being a stator iron core of an electric motor and a first object being a coolant (claims 6, 13).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/851,387

Art Unit: 2859

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Page 3

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Pous, attorney representative for applicant, on

September 24, 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not

result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (703) 306-5473 Monday

through Friday, 7:30 to 4:00 ET.

GKV

September 25, 2002

Gail Verbitsky

Patent Examiner, TC 2800

C. Verelis Her